SAFETY IN MANUFACTURING Machine Risk Assessment Survey

The main purpose of a risk assessment is to decide which machine should be given priority, and which parts of the machine should be safeguarded first.

MACHINE RISK ASSESSMENT SURVEY					
Company Name Machine Name	Date of Survey Machine Function	Survey done by: 1. 2.			
Identify and describe every hazardous machine mo- tion or harmful condition towhich the worker's body parts are exposed (e.g., rotating shafts, in-running nip points, shearing parts, reciprocating parts, punch- ing action, impact hazards, flying debris, abrasive surfaces, electrical hazards, hot/toxic fluids, vapours, emissions, radiation). Be as descriptive and detailed as possible.	Describe the worst injury that would reasonably occur due to each hazard. Use the following descriptions as a guide: • Fatal • Major (normally irreversible: permanent spinal damage, loss of sight, amputation/ crushing, respiratory damage) • Serious (normally reversible: loss of consciousness, burns, fractures) • Minor (bruising, cuts, light abrasions)	3. Estimated severity of injury: Minor = 1 Serious = 5 Major = 7 Fatal = 10	Estimated likelihood of injury (see Note 1): Unlikely =1 Possible = 5 Probable = 7 Certain = 10	Estimated level of risk (see Note 2): Estimated severity ×× estimated likelihood	
1. 2.					
3.					
4.					

Note: Gathering this information may require repeated observations, especially when determining what the worker does when normal production flow is interrupted.



WORKING TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE worksafebc.com

RECOMMENDED SAFEGUARDING TO ELIMINATE OR REDUCE THE RISK TO AN ACCEPTABLE LEVEL (SEE NOTE 3)			
1.	3.		
2.	4.		

Note 1. The following factors may be useful when estimating the likelihood of injury:

- Machine cycle speed
- History of jams and misfeeds resulting in frequent access to danger areas of the machine
- Hand feeding with foot controlOperator training and experience
- Boredom factor (repetition)
- Previous injuries on this machine or machines of this type

Note 2. Use the estimated level of risk to set the priority for implementing safeguarding measures. The higher the estimated level of risk, the more urgent it is to implement safeguarding solutions.

Note 3. Always follow the hierarchy of safeguarding controls regardless of the perceived level of risk.

HIERARCHY OF SAFEGUARDING CONTROLS					
Most effective	1. Elimination or substitution	Eliminate human interaction in the processEliminate pinch pointsAutomate material handling			
•	2. Engineering controls (safeguarding technology)	 Mechanical hard stops Barrier guards Interlocked guards Presence-sensing devices Two-hand controls 			
	3. Awareness	 Lights, beacons, strobes Computer warnings (PLC-generated) Restricted space painted on floor Beepers Horns and sirens Warning signs and labels 			
×	4. Training and procedures (administrative controls)	 Safe work procedures Safety equipment inspections Training Lockout 			
Least effective	5. Personal protective equipment	 Safety eyewear Face shields Hearing protection Gloves Respirators 			

WORKING TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE worksafebc.com

WORK SAFE BO